



Project funded by the **EUROPEAN UNION**



FruitFlyNet

A Location-aware System for Fruit Fly Monitoring and Pest Management Control

Invasive Fruit Flies

Dacus ciliatus and spring melon (*Cucumis melo*) in the Arava (*InvasiveFlyNet*): Monitoring and Damage









Project funded by the **EUROPEAN UNION**



This presentation has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union under the ENPI CBC Mediterranean Sea Basin Programme. The contents of this presentation are the sole responsibility of AUA/ ARO/ NCARE/ CRA-FRU/ UIB/ UTH and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union or of the Programme's management structures.

The 2007-2013 ENPI CBC Mediterranean Sea Basin Programme is a multilateral Cross-Border Cooperation initiative funded by the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument (ENPI). The Programme objective is to promote the sustainable and harmonious cooperation process at the Mediterranean Basin level by dealing with the common challenges and enhancing its endogenous potential. It finances cooperation projects as a contribution to the economic, social, environmental and cultural development of the Mediterranean region. The following 14 countries participate in the Programme: Cyprus, Egypt, France, Greece, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Lebanon, Malta, Palestine, Portugal, Spain, Syria (participation currently suspended), Tunisia. The Joint Managing Authority (JMA) is the Autonomous Region of Sardinia (Italy). Official Programme languages are Arabic, English and French (www.enpicbcmed.eu).

The European Union is made up of 28 Member States who have decided to gradually link together their know-how, resources and destinies. Together, during a period of enlargement of 50 years, they have built a zone of stability, democracy and sustainable development whilst maintaining cultural diversity, tolerance and individual freedoms. The European Union is committed to sharing its achievements and its values with countries and peoples beyond its borders.

The project FruitFlyNet total budget is 1.662.872,32€ and it is financed, on an amount of 1.496.585,09€ (90 %), by the European Union (ENPI CBC Mediterranean Sea Basin Programme) through the European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument.

Editor(s): David Nestel Eitan Goldshtein Email: nestel@agri.gov.il

Invasive Organisms



 Ecological-Paleobiological Definition: Refers to species that expand their geographic range "relatively" fast

• Economic Definition:

Species that found their way out of their native range and into a novel non-native location via human actions









Introduced pest	United States	United Kingdom	Australia	South Africa	India	Brazil	Total
Weeds		,					
Crops	27.9	1.4	1.8	1.5	37.8	17.0 ^d	87.4
Pastures	6.0	_	0.6	_	0.92	_	7.52
Vertebrates							
Crops	1.0ª	1.2 ^b	0.2 ^c	_	_	_	2.4
Arthropods							
Crops	15.9	0.96	0.94	1.0	16.8	8.5	44.1
Forests	2.1	_	_			_	21
Plant pathogens							
Crops	23.5	2.0	2.7	1.8	35.5	17.1	82.6
Forests	2.1	_			_		02.0
otal	78.5	5.56	3.24	4.3	91.02	42.6	228.72
 Losses due to English starlings and English sparrows⁴ 							

Table 17.2 Economic Losses to Introduced Pests in Crops, Pastures, and Forests in the United States, United Kingdom, Australia, South Africa, India, and Brazil (billion dollars per year)

Calculated damage losses from the European rabbit (see text) b =

c = 34

d = Pasture losses included in crop losses

- = data not available

Data for 2002; Pimentel, Biological Invasions



Project funded by the **EUROPEAN UNION**



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015



Rate of Invasion (e.g., San Francisco Bay)



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





An Introduction to Invasion Ecology



Figure 1.2 Invasion process model depicting the discrete stages an invasive species passes through as well as alternative outcomes at each stage.



Project funded by the EUROPEAN UNION

9



FruitFly

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015



Invasive Fruit Flies in Israel





Dacus ciliatus; Ethiopian fruit fly

Recently established in the Arava

Bactrocera zonata; Peach fruit fly

Frequently Intercepted in the Negev; Recent Outbreak in Tel Aviv



Project funded by the EUROPEAN UNION



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015



Ethiopian fruit Fly:

Development of Management System

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015













Damage

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





































Aim of the Project



To Inquire on the temporal and spatial dynamics of *D. ciliatus* in a melon growing area of the Arava, and to develop a Management system that incorporates new concepts and technologies (DSS + *ReTIC* Monitoring Systems)







Geographic Location of the Pilot Site





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015







Ein-Yahav-Melon Production

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015























Project funded by the **EUROPEAN UNION**



FruitFly Net











Coexisting Melon Production Systems in Ein Yahav







Project funded by the EUROPEAN UNION



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015















Avg. Growing-Tunnel Dimension = 750 m^2

Avg. Production per Growing-Tunnel ca. 8-9 T

Avg. Net Income per Growing-Tunnel € 5,000

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015







Ein Yahav – Objectives for 2014



To determine optimum trap locations for monitoring.
 To study the phenology of *D. ciliatus*.

To study the relationship between fly activity and fruit damage.









78 Traps Serviced: Every 15 days





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Ein Yahav – Southern Site





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Fly activity and Fruit samples FruitFly Sampling dates: traps: April-10-17, 2014 le' FTD





120

180

30 60

Project funded by the EUROPEAN UNION

0. ()

.1 - .3

0

1 - 2

3 - 4

Infected mesions



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015

Fly activity and Fruit samples FruitFly Sampling dates: traps: April-24-May 5, 2014 le' FTD FTD 0. 0 .0 .1 - .3 .1 - .3 Infected melons Infected melons

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015

60 90

1 - 2



120

180

30 60















<u>Conclusions (and structure for</u> <u>Pilot 2015)</u>



- We did not found a significant spatial pattern of *D.* ciliatus; therefore, each tunnel will be used as a management unit
- No clear relation between trap captures and fruit damages, thus, the two parameters should be monitored.
 As an exercise, we took 0.3 F/T/D as starting threshold for DSS
- Information derived from a single trap is misleading; use several traps to follow population trends and establish risk







Ein Yahav – Objectives for 2015



➤To explore D. ciliatus management using the concept of LAS and the results of 2014

- ➤To explore the effectiveness of the ReTIC trap developed for Monitoring D. ciliatus
- To explore the ability of DSS for *D. ciliatus* under the conditions of the Arava





Limitation in the Application of LAS Concept for *D. ciliatus* in melon production in the <u>Arava</u>



- Developed for orchards
- No existence of Economic Thresholds for the fly
- No compensatory system for farmer
- No ability to use several areas with similar characteristics













Plan For Pilot in 2015

- We selected the Southern region (Farmer gave access)
- 2) We selected area with the same melon variety and similar agronomic practices
- 3) We used tunnels as the sampling unit
- 4) Monitoring: Rimi-Traps
 + several ReTIC traps + fruit damage





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Plan For Pilot in 2015

- 10 Control Tunnels (sprayed following calendar)
- 2) 4 LAS Tunnels
- Traps in all tunnel entrances; up to 10 ReTIC traps in the area
- 4) Control Tunnels managed with regular sprays against Dacus
- 5) LAS tunnels managed with a DSS system





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015

DSS for Dacus ciliatus (developed together with Farmer)





Calendar Spraying = 15 days

Farmer's Risk limit = 22 days

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





	× Ben - Decision Making.xlsx - Microsoft Excel											
Fi	Le Home Insert	Page Layo	ut For <u>mu</u> la	as D <u>at</u> a	Review	View				c) 🕜 – ē	53
	$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline $						A A	AA P				
				• a • •	\$ - % ,	📑 Format as Table 🔹 📑 De			lete - 🗔 -			
Past	te 🦪 📕 🗸 🔕 🗸	Α -	ée ée ⊗∕	- M -	e.0 .00	📑 Cell Sty	les *	E Foi	rmat 👻 🥥 🗸	Sort & Find	d& Transla	te
Clipb	oard 5 Font	G.	Alignmen	t G	Number 5		Styles	Ce	lls	Editing	Docume	ent.
A1 • f* Date												
	А	BK	BL	BM	BN	BO	BP	BQ	BR	BS	BT	
1	Date	12/5/15	13/5/15	14/5/15	15/5/15	16/5/15	17/5/15	18/5/15	19/5/15	20/5/15	21/5/15	
2	AVERAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3												
4	FTD1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	FTD2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	FTD3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	SUM FTDi	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	SUM FTD Decision[0,1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9												
10	Last Spray	########	########	########	########	########	########	########	########	########	########	#
11	dt	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
12	If More than Min dt[0,1]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
13	If More than Max dt[0,1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
14												
15	Last Decision[0,1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
16	Decision	No Spray	No Spray	No Spray	No Spray	No Spray	No Spray	No Spray	Spray	Spray	Spray	
17												
18						Spray NO-	LAS					
19												
20		thm Kohi	Summan	/ Decisio	n-making	Settings	* *					
Ready Average: 26/3/19 Count: 1862 III III IIII IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII										\oplus		





















































Conclusions-Pilot

- In general, no relationship was detected between
 F/T/D and damage
- In general, damage in tunnels did not corresponded with F/T/D in tunnel
- Threshold level needs to be revaluated (0.3 F/T/D Is not relevant)
- Damage and FTD were relatively low
- Minimal management of LAS tunnels reduced 2 out of 3 sprays in contrast to calendar spraying in No-LAS tunnels
- DSS system can be improved with this system; the "<u>localized</u>" component of LAS not applicable to the **Dacus-Melon** system in the Arava









Performance of ReTIC



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Performance of CPU









Comparison between Scout Inspection and Desk-Top Inspection of Traps





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015



funded by the EUROPEAN UNION



Comparison between Scout Inspection and Visual Inspection of Traps





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Conclusions



- ReTIC prototype gave good results
- Differences between Scout sampling and Desk-Top sampling probably related to:
 - Human Error in sampling (e.g., field mistakes)
 - Flies out of the field of vision in the Desk-Top
 - ≻Etc.
- Desk-Top images can be viewed and analyzed in retrospective
- ReTIC requires improvements in design and costs, and the application of algorithms to automate counting









Peach fruit Fly:

Early Warning Systems

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





Geographic Location of the Pilot Site





Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015









Frequency of traps catching flies in the two surveillance systems





Project funded by the EUROPEAN UNION



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015



Project funded by the **EUROPEAN UNION**



Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





NO INTERCEPTIONS

of *Bactrocera zonata* in the ReTIC nor in the PPIS surveillance system

Volos, Greece, Dec. 2015





<u>Team</u>



>Yafit Cohen Eitan Goldshtein Ben Shaked Assaf Barel Yiftach Afgin Rami Sadeh ➢Kobi Bag Esther Nemny-Lavy Ayelet Razon
Liana Yanovski
Clara Shenderey
Viacheslav Ostrosky
Polychronis Rempoulakis
Victor Alchanatis
David Nestel











